ON 5-5-15 RC
Julia Richards Johnston, Clark
Life Display Court

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	United States of America	)
	v.	) ) ) 7:15 CD 15 D 1
	JAMES FULTON MCKOY	) Case No. 7:15-CR-15-D-1
	Defendant	)
	DETENTION ORD	PER PENDING TRIAL
	Assessment and a section to a decide Del	I Deferre A at 10 II O C 6 2140/O I amply to the state of
	at the defendant be detained pending trial.	I Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
- (1) m		ndings of Fact
	-	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of		nse that would have been a federal offense if federal
	jurisdiction had existed - that is	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. for which the prison term is 10 years or more	§ 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) e.
	☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence	e is death or life imprisonment.
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term	n of ten years or more is prescribed in
		.*
	□ a felony committed after the defendant had described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C),	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses or comparable state or local offenses:
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence bu	it involves:
	□ a minor victim	
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or de	structive device or any other dangerous weapon
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2	250
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was commifederal, state release or local offense.	tted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since	e the
	from prison for the offense described in finding	(1).
□ (4)		e presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alternative	e Findings (A)
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defer	ndant has committed an offense
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten year	ars or more is prescribed in .
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

## Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the pres the defendant's appearance and the saf	sumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure tety of the community.
	A	lternative Findings (B)
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defenda	ant will not appear.
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defenda	ant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		ement of the Reasons for Detention submitted at the detention hearing establishes by
B B	☐ clear and convincing evidence tased on the defendant's waiver of his/her right	-
	or the reasons indicated below, there is no consumer the defendant's appearance and/or safet.  The nature of the charges.  The apparent strength of the government's.  The indication of substance abuse.  The defendant's criminal history.  Other:	The lack of stable employment
	Part III—	Directions Regarding Detention
pending order of	rections facility separate, to the extent programmer. The defendant must be afforded	y of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement acticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On torney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility tarshal for a court appearance.
Date:	05/05/2015	Judge's Signature
		•
		ROBERT B. JONES, JR., USMJ
		Name and Title